We are experimentalists seeking to engineer superb performance

1. Do SATs work? If so, which SATs work best?
2. Testing and validation grounded in both logical-coherence and empirical-correspondence measures
   • Do good rationales lead to good forecasts? And vice versa?
3. Supplementary and complementary training methods
4. How do we design a user experience that makes it easy for any analyst to identify:
   • Logical fallacies embedded in arguments
   • Empirical errors in judgments of past, present and future
Capabilities and Experience

- Deep expertise: cognitive-political psychology, statistics and AI, crowd-sourcing, intelligence analysis
- Working with IARPA: Winning ACE tournament and identifying/cultivating “superforecasters”
- Prior work: ACE program also identified forecasters skilled at explaining their forecasts and boosting others’ accuracy
Looking for Partners

Us  You!

Seeking software collaborators with:
1) knowledge of Intelligence Community analytic processes
2) prior experience designing argumentation software (structured or unstructured)
Contact Information

Welton Chang
Good Judgment Lab
welton.chang@gmail.com
908-930-2996