

## **Question and Answers for IARPA-BAA-15-10**

**8-18-15**

- 9. Question:** The LogiQ program years do not align with the government fiscal years. Volume 2, Section 2(3) asks for a cost breakdown of “[m]ajor program tasks by fiscal year.” Is the fiscal year here the government fiscal year or the program year?

**Answer Q9:** LogiQ program year.

- 10. Question:** Is it acceptable for a subcontractor to be part of multiple teams?

**Answer Q10:** Yes. Reference BAA section 1.A. *Management Plan and Teaming*:

“Note that it is not required for all team members to be part of the program for the entire duration; they should only be included as long as essential to achieve program goals. Note also that individuals and/or institutions may support multiple teams.”

- 11. Question:** Is there a maximum number of teams a subcontractor can be in, and would this reduce the chance of teams getting funded?

**Answer Q11:** No. Please note the following from BAA section 6.B.6. Subcontracting:

“Individuals or organizations associated with multiple teams must take care not to over-commit those resources being applied.”

- 12. Question:** Is there extra documentation on synergy, boundaries, and differentiation required [if proposing as a subcontractor to multiple teams]?

**Answer Q12:** The descriptions of the subcontractor’s role and participation in each proposal must be complete and limited to the subcontractor’s proposed participation in the proposal. Because there is no common statement of work, each proposal will be evaluated on its own merits and its relevance to the program goals rather than against other proposals responding to this BAA.

- 13. Question:** In section 4.B.1.3.C. State of the art, is the intent that we compare our proposed effort with other ongoing research using other types of qubits or that we limit the comparison to include only other work using the same type of physical qubits?

**Answer Q13:** Both the same and other types of qubits, but with emphasis on the same type of qubit.